

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/065,647	11/06/2002	Chih-Feng Sung	8834-US-PA	5982		
31561	7590 05/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
•	YUN INTELLECTUAL P	NGUYEN, J	NGUYEN, JENNIFER T			
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVEL	NO. 100 T ROAD, SECTION 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	00	2674				
TAIWAN			DATE MAIL ED: 05/16/200	e		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			55,647	SUNG, CHIH-FE	NG			
			iner	Art Unit				
			fer T Nguyen	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAILING [- Extensions of time in after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received in the second	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IDATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT may be available under the provisions of 37 HS from the mailing date of this communicary specified above is less than thirty (30) day by is specified above, the maximum statutory in the set or extended period for reply will, by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In a tion. s, a reply within the period will apply a y statute, cause the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of the and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	ely. communication.			
Status	·							
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on	06 Novembe	er 2002.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
Disposition of Clai	ms							
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers	5							
9)☐ The specif	ication is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)∐ The oath o	r declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner	. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachus contra								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference	res Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsper	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	•	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclos Paper No(s)/Mail D	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/state	SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT)	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 12/28/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo (Patent No.: US 6,777,888) in view of Troxell (Patent No.: US 5,177,406).

Regarding claim 1, referring to Fig. 1, Kondo teaches a driving circuit design for a display device having a plurality of pixels with each pixel including a driving thin film transistor (M1) and an organic light emitting diode (1) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Kondo differs from claim 1 in that he does not specifically teach each pixel receiving an identical data voltage; and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor through the adjustment of the channel width/length ratio of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained. However, referring to Figs. 2-5, Troxell teaches each pixel (10) (Fig. 2) receiving an identical data voltage (i.e., 12 V) (col. 12, lines 25-27); and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor (34) through the adjustment of the width/length ratio (Wt/Lt) of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the modifying the driving current as taught by Troxell in the system of Kondo in order to provide a display image with a full coloration is obtained easily.

Regarding claim 2, Kondo further teaches the driving current (i.e., current from second power source) passes between the drain terminal and the gate terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) (col. 6, lines 52-55).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of red light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of green light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance of blue light emitted by an organic light emitting diode depends on the structure and material forming the organic light emitting diode (from col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 of Troxell).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of red light is proportional to the driving current flowing across unit area of

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

the red organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of green light is proportional to the driving current flowing across area of the green organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Kondo and Troxell teaches the luminance and emission efficiency of blue light is proportional to the driving current flowing across unit area of the blue organic light emitting diode (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15).

Regarding claim 9, Kondo further teaches the source terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) is coupled to the positive terminal of the organic light emitting diode (1) (Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 10, Kondo further teaches the drain terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2) is coupled to a power supply at a first voltage level (7) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Regarding claim 11, Kondo further teaches the negative terminal of the organic light emitting diode (1) is coupled to a power supply at a second voltage level (6) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Regarding claim 12, Kondo further teaches each pixel further includes: a thin film transistor switch (11) having a drain terminal, a gate terminal and a source terminal, wherein the drain terminal is coupled to the data voltage (9), the gate terminal is coupled to a scanning voltage (5) and the source terminal is coupled to the gate terminal of the driving thin film transistor (M2); and a capacitor (C1) having a first terminal and a second terminal, wherein the first terminal is coupled to the source terminal and the gate terminal of the driving thin film

Application/Control Number: 10/065,647

Art Unit: 2674

transistor (M2), and the second terminal is coupled to a power supply at a third voltage level (Vref) (col. 6, lines 28-60).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments filed 12/28/04, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive because as follows:

In response to Applicants' argument filed "Troxell does not teach that adjusting the channel width/length ratio of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio that white light is produced and full coloration is attained". Examiner disagreed. Troxell teaches each pixel (10) (Fig. 2) receiving an identical data voltage (i.e., 12 V) (col. 12, lines 25-27); and modifying the driving current generated by the driving thin film transistor (34) through the adjustment of the channel width/length ratio (Wt/Lt) of the driving thin film transistor so that the luminance of red light emitted from a red organic light emitting diode, the luminance of green light emitted from a green organic light emitting diode and the luminance of blue light emitted from a blue light emitting diode are in such a ratio (col. 10, line 24 to col. 11, line 45 and from col. 12, line 25 to col. 13, line 15). Consequently, an appropriate luminance ratio between R, G, B lights may be selected to reproduce white light and full coloration is obtained. Therefore, it is believe that the ground of the rejection is maintained.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2674

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-7696. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick N. Edouard can be reached on 571-272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JNguyen 5/3/05

REGINA LIANG PRIMARY EXAMINE